8 April 1959

25X1C8c

25X1C8c

In answer to your letter of 17 February which we received on 14 March, we feel that an average cost curve of 90 percent is too high. We believe that an average cost curve of 86 or 87 percent would be better.

In your letter you stated "although the airfress curve would be in the neighborhood of 80%, all other items would be made for so many different aircraft that it would tend to drive the overall cost curve considerably higher". We discussed this point with during his visit here. The cost of items other than the sirfress would tend to raise the average cost curve above 80 percent. For purpose of discussion, we assumed that these items would have a slope of 95 percent and that their cost would equal 80 percent of the airfress cost at the first unit. These items, however, would average less than 80 percent for the first unit as indicated by the following:

Nodel.	Quentity	Cost of "Other Items" as a Percent of Airframe Cost
B-52A, B	Pirst 20	18%
B-58A	First 17	125
F-104A	First 17	581 9
7-107A	First 17	64

a. The engines on the first Y-104 were not the engines for which the aircraft was designed and these engines were very expensive - over twice the cost of the regular engine.

If the "other items" equal 40 percent of the airframe cost at the first unit and if the number of "other items" produced equal the number of airframes produced, the total cost curve would have about a 69 percent slope between units 50 and 1,000. If, however, the number of other items produced is 5 times the number of airframes, then the slope of the total cost curve is approximately 68 percent between units 50 and 1,000. (See Attachments 1 and 2). The effect of all other items being made for so many different aircraft, therefore, would tend to drive the overall cost curve lower than if these items were produced for only one aircraft.

In connection with your thought of identifying which unit of a given span would be representative of the average cost for that span, consider the following:

Spen of units from 51-100

Learning Curve 85%

Cumulative everage cost at unit 100 = 33-97%

Community average cost at unit 50 = 39.96%

Total cost of first 100 units = 100 x -3397 = 33-975

Total cost of first 50 units = 50 x .3996 = 19.98

Total cost second 50 units

13.99%

Average cost * 13.99 * .2796 or 27.96%

The first point one would probably pick to be representative of the average cost for a given span is the arithmetic mean of the span:

Cumulative everage cost of unit 75 (the arithmetic mean of the span) = 36.34%

Unit cost at unit 75 = 36.34 (1-a)

- 36.34 (.7655) = 27.82\$

Cost of units 51-100 = 50 x .2782 = 1391.05

A better point might be the mid-point of the line representing the lot on the logarithmic scale:

Geometric mean = /100 (50-1) = /4900 = 70

Unit cost at unit 70 = 36.93%(1-n) = 36.93 (.7655)

· 28.27%

Cost of units 51-100 - 50 x 28.27% = 1413.5%

As shown above neither the arithmetic mean nor the geometric mean is entirely satisfactory. The arithmetic mean of the arithmetic mean and the geometric mean gives the following:

A.M. # 1/2 (70 + 75) = 72.5

Unit cost at unit 72.5 = 36.63% (.7655) = 28.04%

Cost of units 51-100 = 50 x 28.04% = 1402.0%

Thus, the arithmetic mean of the arithmetic mean and the geometric mean gives the smallest error.

25X1A5a1

25X1X7

25X1A9a

is making a study for us comparing US and production 25X1X7 costs. The results will be forwarded to you if the study is any good.

Concerning the ruble-pound ratio, we believe the 12:1 ratio is too low. Using your cost figure per pound of basic weight (empty weight), your 90 percent curve, your index, and the Soviet prices, we get a ruble-pound ratio of 22:1 for a fighter and 17:1 for a transport in 1950. If we use an 37 percent curve for the fighter, we get a ratio of 29:1. We don't claim our figure is correct since many assumptions were made in our study as you well know, but we feel certain that the 12:1 ratio is too low for the cost figures which you are using.

You sent us on index sirereft costs for the years 1950 25X1X7 through 1973. Could you send us the index figures for 1946-1949:

We will forward the Bison plots to in the near future. 25X1C8c

We are waiting for some information from and showed him the plots.

25X1C8c Regarding interest in a study of plant ability to produce modern fighters as opposed to older ones, I believe he is reproduce modern fighters as opposed to older ones, I believe he is reproduce modern fighters as opposed to older ones, I believe he is re-

ferring to some AFCIN information. Your friend, stated 25.

produce only 88 F-105 aircraft per month compared to 176 F-84 aircraft per month.

See 360 days compared to 260 days for the F-84.

Secure of a more sophisticated weapon system. What the did not 25X1A9a

realise is that the F-105 is twice as heavy as the F-34. The airframe weight of the F-105 is 16,200 pounds. The airframe weight of the

- 3 -

SEGRET

Approved For Release 2000/08/23 : CIA-RDP62S00231A000100130062-2

P-84 were as follows:

Model	Airframe Weight - Pounds
7-84A	6,000
7-84B	6,600
F-8AC	6,700
F-84D	7,000
7-840	8,100
F-84G	8,700

The direct man-hours per pound for the 100th F-84 was 4.5 compared to 5.5 for the F-105. The slope, however, for the F-105 is 65 percent (between the 10th and 100th unit) compared to 73 percent for the F-84.

was talking about something he did not understand.

25X1A9a

Thank you for the information on spares.

25X1A9a

Today is Baseball Opening Day Eve according to

Major Al Ervin just called to find out where he could locate you.

He had forgotten you were
Assistant Air Attache.

25X1X7

Sincerely,

25X1A9a

Attachments (2)

Distribution:

Orig. and 1 - Addressee

1 - SA/RR

25X 100 - D/I

ORR: D/I/A) 1 1 (3835

- A -

SEGRET

Approved For Release 2000/08/23 : CIA-RDP62S00231A000100130062-2

	<u> Iear</u>	Number of	Commitative	number of	Completive	Completive Average Cost of Airfrens Million \$	Cumulative Average Cost of "Other Items" Million \$	Commutative Average Cost of Aircraft Million \$	
		mber of "Ott	Thomas Pro	cheed Equal Num	ber of Airfrase	Produced			
	A. B		1		1.	1.000	0.400	1.400	
	1954	1		50	50	0.263	0.363	0.586	
	1995	10	50	•	300	0.160	0.268	0.426	
)	1956	290	300	250	600	0.129	0.256	0.365	
SECRET	1957	300	600	300			0.247	0.356	
	1958	300	900	300	900	0.109	•		-
	1999	300	1200	300	1200	0.303	0.242	0.345	SECRE
		- A Mot	the There's D	roduced is 5 tim	es Sunber of Ali	from Produced			5
	B. 1				5	1,000	0.358	1.358	
	1954	1.	1	5		0.283	0-271	0.554	
	1955	49	90	245	250			0.400	
		250	300	1250	1500	0.160	0.240	,	
}	1956			1500	3000	0.129	0.229	0.358	
	1957	300		•	Lenn	0.109	0.222	0.331	
	1950	300	900	1500	1,500		A 400 B	0.323.	
	1959	- 1 m	1200	1.500	6000	0.103	0.218	and American	